

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,113		07/09/2003	Stephan Schmidt	P2002,0564 2190		
24131	7590	06/09/2006		EXAMINER		
LERNER C	GREEN	BERG STEMER	NGO, HUNG V			
P O BOX 24 HOLLYWO		33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2831		
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14	P
, ,	•

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/616,113	SCHMIDT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hung V. Ngo	2831		

Advisory Action	10/616,113	SCHMIDT ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
	Hung V. Ngo	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
HE REPLY FILED 24 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  a) ☑ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS			•			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally rei	aatad alaima				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandmant (	DTOL 224)			
<u> </u>		inpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41 33(d)(1	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ice because:			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N		1 1 2 2 2			
		Hug!	1 NGE			
		HUNG	SV.NGO			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the added limitations to claims 1, 19 have not previously considered.